

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/559,831	12/06/2005	Woong-Tuk Yoo	123037-05154902	8204	
23-459 7599 1006/2011 LOWE HAUPTMAN HAM & BERNER, LLP 1700 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 300 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			VANCHY JR	VANCHY JR, MICHAEL J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Think to the same of the same		2624	•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/06/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Abandonment    10/559,831							
Examiner    MICHAEL VANCHY JR   2624		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
## MICHAEL VANCHY JR   2624  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address—  This application is abandoned in view of:	Notice of Abandonment						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address—  This application is abandoned in view of:  1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 24 March 2011.  (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (b) A proposed reply uwas received on but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.115 (a) failar rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed memory thinks the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complicance with 37 CFR 1.114).  (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  (d) \[ \empty \text{No reply has been received.} \]  2 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  3 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) Proposed ordered drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expirati	Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
This application is abandoned in view of:		MICHAEL VANCHY JR	2624				
1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 24 March 2011.  (a)   A reply was received on	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
<ul> <li>(a) _ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (b) _ A proposed reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) at timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compilance with 37 CFR 1.114).</li> <li>(c) _ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).</li> <li>(d) ∑ No reply has been received.</li> <li>2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period of payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> <li>(b) _ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period of repayment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> <li>(c) _ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.</li> <li>3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).</li> <li>(d) _ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> <l< td=""><td>This application is abandoned in view of:</td><td></td><td></td></l<></ul>	This application is abandoned in view of:						
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of; (f) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compilance with 37 CFR 1.114).  (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  (d) ☒ No reply has been received.  2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.  The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$  (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.  5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	(a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M	Mailing or Transmission dated					
application in condition for allowance, (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).  (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  (d) ☒ No reply has been received.  2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (a) ☐ The Issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the Issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.							
final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  (d) No reply has been received.  2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.  The issue fee equired by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  1. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filling of a continuing application.  6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. The reason(s) below:  A return call from the office of Lowe Hauptman Ham & Berner, LLP was received from the Examiner on September 30, 2011 where it was stated that the case has gone abandoned,  7. Wu Le?  Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624	application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed	d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);					
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (a) The Issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  1. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filling of a continuing application.  6. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filling of a continuing application.  7. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. The reason(s) below:  A return call from the office of Lowe Hauptman Ham & Berner, LLP was received from the Examiner on Septermber 30, 2011 where it was stated that the case has gone abandoned,	final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		empt at a proper reply, to the non-				
trom the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).  (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.  The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$  (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  3 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filling of a continuing application.  6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. The reason(s) below:  A return call from the office of Lowe Hauptman Ham & Berner, LLP was received from the Examiner on Septermber 30, 2011 where it was stated that the case has gone abandoned,  A return call from the office of Lowe Hauptman Ham & Berner, LLP was received from the Examiner on Septermber 30, 2011 where it was stated that the case has gone abandoned,	(d) No reply has been received.						
	from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8	35).	, .				
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$  (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.  1. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filling of a continuing application.  6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking count review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. ☑ The reason(s) below:  A return call from the office of Lowe Hauptman Ham & Berner, LLP was received from the Examiner on Septermber 30, 2011 where it was stated that the case has gone abandoned,    Michael Vanchy Jr./   Examiner, Art Unit 2624	), which is after the expiration of the statutory p	s received on (with a Certific eriod for payment of the issue fee (ar	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated nd publication fee) set in the Notice of				
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.  3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Malling or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  1. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filling of a continuing application.  6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. The reason(s) below:  A return call from the office of Lowe Hauptman Ham & Berner, LLP was received from the Examiner on September 30, 2011 where it was stated that the case has gone abandoned.  7. We Le?  Michael Vanchy Jr./ Examiner, Art Unit 2624	(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.						
3 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Malling or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  1. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filling of a continuing application.  6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. The reason(s) below:  A return call from the office of Lowe Hauptman Ham & Berner, LLP was received from the Examiner on Septermber 30, 2011 where it was stated that the case has gone abandoned,  A wichael Vanchy Jr./ Examiner, Art Unit 2624							
Allowability (PTO-37).  (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  I The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  S The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filling of a continuing application.  C The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  Z The reason(s) below:  A return call from the office of Lowe Hauptman Ham & Berner, LLP was received from the Examiner on Septermber 30, 2011 where it was stated that the case has gone abandoned,    Michael Vanchy Jr./ Examiner, Art Unit 2624   Examiner, Art Unit	(c) I he issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has n	ot been received.					
after the expiration of the period for reply.  (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  1. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filling of a continuing application.  6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7. The reason(s) below:  A return call from the office of Lowe Hauptman Ham & Berner, LLP was received from the Examiner on September 30, 2011 where it was stated that the case has gone abandoned,  7. Wichael Vanchy Jr./ Examiner, Art Unit 2624	Allowability (PTO-37).						
The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.   The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filling of a continuing application.	after the expiration of the period for reply.	_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Trar	nsmission dated), which is				
the applicants.    The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filling of a continuing application.	(b) No corrected drawings have been received.						
1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  1.34(a)) upon the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7.   The reason(s) below:  A return call from the office of Lowe Hauptman Ham & Berner, LLP was received from the Examiner on September 30, 2011 where it was stated that the case has gone abandoned,  7/U Le/  Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624  Michael Vanchy Jr/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624		e attorney or agent of record, the ass	signee of the entire interest, or all of				
of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.  7.   The reason(s) below:  A return call from the office of Lowe Hauptman Ham & Berner, LLP was received from the Examiner on Septermber 30, 2011 where it was stated that the case has gone abandoned,  //U Le/  Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624  //Michael Vanchy Jr./ Examiner, Art Unit 2624		n attorney or agent (acting in a repres	sentative capacity under 37 CFR				
A return call from the office of Lowe Hauptman Ham & Berner, LLP was received from the Examiner on September 30, 2011 where it was stated that the case has gone abandoned,  //u Le/  //wi Le/  Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624  // Michael Vanchy Jr./  Examiner, Art Unit 2624			se the period for seeking court review				
30, 2011 where it was stated that the case has gone abandoned,  //U Le/ //Wi Le/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624  //Michael Vanchy Jr./ Examiner, Art Unit 2624	7. ☑ The reason(s) below:						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624 Examiner, Art Unit 2624			m the Examiner on Septermber				
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624 Examiner, Art Unit 2624							
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to	coportion, atom Examinor, art one 2024	Examinor, Art Offit 2024					
	Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	aw the holding of abandonment under 37	CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to				

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
US Feart and Tindents Childre
PDC1-1432 (Rev. O4-tr)
Notice of Abandonment
Pan of Paper No. 20110930